ERES EPIR-Q

Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum /
Empirical Pattern Intelligence Resonance — Quantum

An Analytical Report on the Definitional Architecture, Systemic Functions, and Civilizational Role of EPIR-Q within the ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics

Authored in collaboration with the ERES Institute
Joseph A. Sprute, Founder & Director
ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics • Bella Vista, Arkansas
March 15, 2026

CARE Commons Attribution License v2.1 (CCAL)

Abstract

This report presents a comprehensive analytical examination of ERES EPIR-Q, one of the foundational constructs within the ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics (NAC) framework. EPIR-Q operates under a dual definitional architecture: as Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum, it provides a measurement of human cognitive-emotional capacity aligned with resonance-based governance; as Empirical Pattern Intelligence Resonance — Quantum, it functions as an intelligent design realtime media platform integrating pattern recognition, predictive modeling, and probabilistic scenario navigation. Within the ERES Rating System v1.0, EPIR-Q occupies the Capacity pillar of the Triadic Cybernetic Framework, positioned alongside GAIA (Will) and PlayNAC EP GERP (Ability), and serves as the primary input metric for the ERES Cybernetic Voting System (ECVS). This report traces the conceptual origins of EPIR-Q, delineates its dual functional roles, explains its integration within the broader ERES architecture—including BERA, FAVORS, SOMT, and the 1000-Year Future Map—and argues for its significance as a novel approach to evidence-based governance that bridges subjective human experience with objective systemic measurement.

Keywords: *EPIR-Q, New Age Cybernetics, ERES Institute, bio-energetic governance, ECVS, BERA, resonance metrics, empirical education, FAVORS, cybernetic voting*

1. Introduction

The ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics, established in February 2012 by Joseph A. Sprute in Bella Vista, Arkansas, represents one of the most ambitious independent efforts to construct a comprehensive intellectual architecture for civilizational governance. Over a fourteen-year period, the Institute has produced more than 300 publications on ResearchGate, maintained multiple GitHub repositories (including the 301-commit Proof-of-Work_MD archive and the PlayNAC-KERNEL codebase), and developed an interlocking system of frameworks spanning economics (UBIMIA, Meritcoin/Gracechain, NBERS), identity (FAVORS, IDIPITIS), governance (SOMT, ECVS, CBGMODD), education (PlayNAC, EarnedPath), planetary coordination (GAIA, GERP, GSSG), and measurement (BERA, ARI, ERI, RHC, RCI).

Among these constructs, EPIR-Q has occupied a distinctive and often underappreciated position. First published as a standalone article on ResearchGate in March 2023, EPIR-Q has since evolved from a semantic ratings foundation for user-group AI applications into one of the three pillars of the ERES Rating System and the primary intelligence layer connecting individual human capacity to collective governance decisions. This report provides the first dedicated analytical treatment of EPIR-Q as a unified construct, drawing on the full corpus of ERES documentation to present its architecture, functions, and significance.

1.1 Purpose and Scope

This report aims to accomplish three objectives. First, it defines what EPIR-Q is by tracing its dual definitional architecture and clarifying its relationship to adjacent ERES constructs. Second, it explains what EPIR-Q does by examining its functional roles across the ERES ecosystem—from individual measurement to system-level pattern intelligence to governance-enabling infrastructure. Third, it articulates why EPIR-Q matters by situating the construct within contemporary challenges in governance, AI alignment, identity verification, and sustainability—arguing that the integration of emotional-cognitive measurement with empirical pattern intelligence represents a genuinely novel contribution to the discourse on evidence-based civilizational management.

1.2 Methodology

This report synthesizes material from the ERES Key Files archive (62 documents, March 2026), the Proof-of-Work_MD GitHub repository (155+ markdown documents, 301 commits), the PlayNAC-KERNEL repository, and the ResearchGate publication record. It employs the ERES Institute's own Multi-Instrument Ensemble Validation Method (MIEVM), treating the primary source documents as parallel validation nodes and synthesizing across them to extract the canonical architecture of EPIR-Q. All citations refer to ERES Institute primary sources unless otherwise noted.

2. Definitional Architecture: The Dual Expansion of EPIR-Q

A distinctive feature of the ERES framework is its use of layered acronymic architecture, where a single abbreviation carries multiple valid expansions that address different ontological levels of the same construct. EPIR-Q exemplifies this approach with three documented expansions, each foregrounding a different dimension of its operation.

2.1 Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum

The first and most frequently cited expansion—Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum—positions EPIR-Q as a measurement of human cognitive-emotional capacity. The ERES TERMS document (v2.0, TERMS #43) defines it as the "measurement of emotional awareness, regulation, interpersonal effectiveness, and resonance-aligned intelligence." This expansion emphasizes the individual human as the unit of analysis. The term "Real" distinguishes it from abstract or theoretical intelligence metrics by insisting on empirical grounding—actual observable behavior, physiological markers, and demonstrated interpersonal effectiveness—rather than self-reported or test-based proxies. The "Quantum" suffix signals the framework's commitment to probabilistic, non-deterministic modeling of human capacity, acknowledging that emotional intelligence is not a fixed trait but a dynamic field that fluctuates with context, health, relationships, and intention.

In conventional terms, this expansion maps to the domain of Emotional Intelligence (EQ) and Social-Emotional Competence assessment, but the ERES framework extends well beyond these established constructs by integrating bio-energetic measurement (via BERA's ARI and ERI indices), biometric verification (via FAVORS' five-channel authentication), and resonance-based weighting (via the RHC cycle). EPIR-Q in this sense is not merely a score—it is a continuously updated, empirically grounded, multi-modal assessment of an individual's capacity to participate meaningfully in governance, economic, and social systems.

2.2 Empirical Pattern Intelligence Resonance — Quantum

The second expansion—Empirical Pattern Intelligence Resonance — Quantum—reframes EPIR-Q as a system-level platform rather than an individual metric. The Bio-Energetic Property Management Framework describes this expansion as an "intelligent design realtime media platform providing pattern recognition, narrative generation, and probability field navigation." Here, EPIR-Q functions as the intelligence layer of the ERES architecture: it ingests data from ARI/ERI sensors, ECVS decision outcomes, ERES learning assessments, environmental monitoring networks, energy grid telemetry, and climate models, then applies correlation analysis, causal inference, anomaly detection, and predictive modeling to generate actionable governance intelligence.

The "Resonance" component in this expansion refers to the system's capacity for bio-energetic coherence mapping—visualizing alignment between individual intentions and collective outcomes, detecting emerging conflicts before they manifest, and identifying leverage points for maximum positive impact. The "Quantum" suffix here refers specifically to probabilistic navigation: generating scenario trees, visualizing probability fields as navigable landscapes (bright regions for high-probability positive outcomes, dark regions for likely negative consequences), and tracking which predicted scenarios actually manifest—a process the framework terms "collapse to actualization."

2.3 EarnedPath Intelligent Resonance Quotient

A third expansion—EarnedPath Intelligent Resonance Quotient—appears in the TERMS glossary and connects EPIR-Q directly to the EarnedPath (EP) system, the ERES skill-development and credentialing framework defined by the formula EP = CPM × WBS + PERT (Critical Path Method × Work Breakdown Structure + Program Evaluation Review Technique). This expansion emphasizes that EPIR-Q is not an innate or static measurement but one that can be cultivated through structured learning, practice, and demonstrated achievement within the PlayNAC educational environment. Intelligence, in this framing, is earned through purposeful engagement with the system—a philosophical stance consistent with the ERES ethic of merit-based participation.

2.4 Synthesis: One Construct, Three Lenses

These three expansions are not competing definitions but complementary perspectives on a single integrated construct. The Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum expansion addresses what is being measured (human cognitive-emotional capacity). The Empirical Pattern Intelligence Resonance — Quantum expansion addresses how that measurement is operationalized at system scale (through an intelligent media platform). The EarnedPath Intelligent Resonance Quotient expansion addresses how the measured capacity is developed (through structured educational pathways). Together, they describe a closed loop: individuals develop their EPIR-Q through EarnedPath engagement, their capacity is measured and continuously updated through bio-energetic and behavioral assessment, and those measurements feed into the pattern intelligence platform that informs governance decisions—which in turn shape the educational and environmental conditions that further develop individual capacity.

3. EPIR-Q Within the ERES Triadic Cybernetic Framework

The ERES Rating System v1.0 (published November 2025 on ResearchGate, 7 reads) establishes what the Institute terms the "definitive triadic framework for empirical governance intelligence." This framework integrates three revolutionary components into a unified system that enables the ERES Cybernetic Voting System (ECVS) to transform governance from political competition to evidence-based collective optimization.

Pillar	Measured By	Domain	Function
Will	GAIA	Global Actuary Investor Authority	Intention, direction, planetary alignment
Capacity	EPIR-Q	Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum	Cognitive-emotional readiness, resonance alignment
Ability	PlayNAC EP GERP	New Age Cybernetic Game Theory × EarnedPath + GERP	Demonstrated skill, credentialed performance

Table 1. The ERES Rating System v1.0 Triadic Framework

The triadic distinction between Will, Capacity, and Ability is foundational. Will (GAIA) addresses whether an individual or entity has the intention and strategic alignment necessary for participation in governance. Ability (PlayNAC EP GERP) addresses whether they have the demonstrated technical competence and credentialed performance record. Capacity (EPIR-Q) addresses the dimension that conventional governance systems almost entirely ignore: the cognitive-emotional readiness, interpersonal effectiveness, and resonance alignment of the participant. A voter, leader, or institutional actor may possess both the will and the ability to govern—but without the emotional-cognitive capacity to do so wisely, justly, and empathically, governance produces destructive outcomes.

EPIR-Q thus fills the measurement gap that the ERES Institute identifies as the critical blind spot in all existing governance architectures: the assumption that political participation requires no empirical assessment of the participant's capacity for wise collective decision-making. The ERES framework does not restrict participation on the basis of EPIR-Q scores; rather, it uses EPIR-Q to weight contributions within the ECVS, ensuring that governance decisions are informed by the measured resonance alignment of participants alongside their will and demonstrated ability.

4. Functional Roles of EPIR-Q Across the ERES Ecosystem

4.1 Individual Measurement and the BERA Resonance Architecture

At the individual level, EPIR-Q operates within the BERA (Bio-Energetic Resonance Architecture) measurement infrastructure. BERA comprises four confirmed indices: ARI (Aura Resonance Index), measuring biometric-environmental-behavioral coherence; ERI (Emission Resonance Index), quantifying ecological and emission-aligned resonance using the formula ERI = [(PERC × 0.30) + (BERC × 0.40) + (JERC × 0.30)] × Coherence_Multiplier × 10; RHC (Resonant Harmony Cycle), measuring the quality of cycling between productive and restorative states; and RCI (Resonant Continuity Index), measuring the persistence of coherent patterns across time.

EPIR-Q draws on all four BERA indices but is not reducible to any one of them. It represents the integrated cognitive-emotional capacity assessment that emerges when ARI (how coherent is the individual's bio-energetic field?), ERI (how aligned are their emissions with ecological health?), RHC (how effectively do they cycle between engagement and restoration?), and RCI (how stable is their coherence over time?) are synthesized into a single readiness metric. The Trinity Recognition Framework illustrates this by assigning EPIR-Q scores as calibration references: approaching 1.0 for figures whose financial signals align with ethical output, and at "theoretical maximum" for individuals demonstrating sustained phase coherence across decades of public life.

4.2 Intelligent Design Realtime Media Platform

At the system level, the EPIR-Q platform performs five categories of intelligence operations, as specified in the Bio-Energetic Property Management Framework.

Correlation Analysis identifies which property characteristics, educational interventions, governance decisions, and geospatial factors predict high performance across ARI/ERI/PBJ dimensions. **Causal Inference** moves beyond correlation to determine whether specific interventions (solar installation, VERTECA simulation, community gardening) produce lasting positive changes in measurable resonance. **Anomaly Detection** flags unexpected drops in ERI signaling ecosystem stress, unusual ARI patterns indicating social conflict or individual crisis,

and emergent system-level behaviors. **Predictive Modeling** forecasts ecosystem trajectories, energy demand, ECVS voting outcomes, and climate impacts on local properties. **Quantum Probabilistic Navigation** generates scenario trees from current states, visualizes probability distributions for different decision pathways, and tracks which predicted scenarios actually manifest—enabling retrocausal learning that builds institutional memory preventing repeated mistakes.

4.3 Personalized Adaptive Education

The EPIR-Q platform includes a personalization engine that profiles users (in a privacy-respecting manner) according to current knowledge level, learning style preferences, property-specific context, goals and values derived from ECVS participation, and bio-energetic signature. This profile drives adaptive content generation: complex concepts are automatically simplified or elaborated based on demonstrated comprehension, examples are drawn from similar situations, visual metaphors are matched to background knowledge, and pacing is adjusted to attention levels. Proactive guidance anticipates questions before they are asked, surfaces supporting information seamlessly, and provides relevant analogies from the user's experience.

This educational function connects EPIR-Q directly to the EarnedPath system. As individuals engage with EPIR-Q-generated educational content, their EarnedPath credentials advance, their EPIR-Q capacity scores update, and their weighted participation in ECVS governance decisions reflects their growing competence—creating the developmental feedback loop described in the EarnedPath Intelligent Resonance Quotient expansion.

4.4 ECVS Governance and User-GROUP Voting

The ERES Cybernetic Voting System (ECVS) is the governance mechanism through which EPIR-Q's measurements become actionable. ECVS is defined as a digital platform enabling transparent civic participation, issue tracking, and collaborative decision-making with resonance-weighted voting. The weighting is performed using ARI/ERI-based scores—of which EPIR-Q is the primary integrating metric—and all votes are recorded on the GraceChain blockchain for immutable transparency.

The original March 2023 EPIR-Q publication on ResearchGate established the construct as a "semantic ratings foundation for User-GROUP AI Applications." The P^3 (Personal-Public-Private) ontology underlying this User-GROUP architecture ensures that EPIR-Q operates across three scopes: Personal (individual capacity assessment feeding into the person's own EarnedPath development), Public (community-level governance through ECVS weighted voting and SOMT deliberation), and Private (organizational and institutional credentialing through TETRA CERT protocols). The seven-stakeholder CBGMODD structure—Citizen, Business, Government, Military, Ombudsman, Dignitary,

Diplomat—provides the categorical framework within which User-GROUP voting occurs, ensuring that EPIR-Q-weighted decisions reflect the full spectrum of societal stakeholders.

5. Data Management and Alignment with the ERES Mission

5.1 Data Flow Architecture

EPIR-Q sits at the convergence point of multiple data streams within the ERES architecture. Incoming data includes FAVORS biometric authentication (Fingerprint, Aura, Voice, Odor, Retina, Signature), BERA resonance measurements (ARI/ERI/RHC/RCI), PlayNAC/EarnedPath educational telemetry, ECVS participation records, environmental sensor networks, energy grid data, and SOMT (Sociocratic Overlay Metadata Tapestry) provenance metadata. EPIR-Q processes this data through its pattern intelligence algorithms and outputs governance-quality intelligence: weighted voting inputs for ECVS, educational recommendations for ERES learning systems, anomaly alerts for VERTECA simulation, and predictive models for GAIA-level planetary coordination.

The SOMT data provenance layer ensures that all data flowing through EPIR-Q carries six mandatory metadata fields: provenance, timestamp, content hash, permissions, lineage, and verification. This metadata architecture, anchored on the Gracechain blockchain, provides the immutable audit trail necessary for governance-grade data integrity—a requirement that the ERES Government Partnership Brief (2026) identifies as directly compliant with the EU AI Act's Article 12 transparency requirements and Article 13 information obligations.

5.2 The Cybernetic Formula C = R × P / M

All ERES data management is organized according to the foundational cybernetic formula C = R × P / M, where C represents Cost (or Contribution/Coherence depending on context), R represents Resources, P represents Purpose, and M represents Method. EPIR-Q provides the measurement infrastructure for the P (Purpose) variable at the human level—assessing whether an individual's cognitive-emotional state is aligned with the purposes of the governance system they are participating in—and for the M (Method) variable at the system level—ensuring that the methods of data collection, analysis, and decision-making are empirically grounded and resonance-aligned.

The ERES Classifying Empirics in Real-Time document establishes the mandatory tagging schema for all empirical data within the framework: Primary tags map to the C/R/P/M variables, Secondary tags identify dysfunction types (Inefficiency_R-M, Ineffectiveness_M-P, Insufficiency_R-P, Misalignment_P), and Tertiary tags specify system level (Strategic, Tactical, Operational). EPIR-Q's pattern intelligence algorithms operate on data classified according to this schema, ensuring that governance intelligence is not merely descriptive but diagnostically structured.

5.3 Alignment with the ERES Three-Principle Ethic

The ERES Institute operates under three core ethical principles that constrain all frameworks, including EPIR-Q: "Don't hurt yourself. Don't hurt others. Build for generations to come." These principles—referred to within the framework as the Tri-Codex—function as the non-negotiable constraint layer against which all EPIR-Q outputs are validated. The pattern intelligence platform does not merely optimize for efficiency or resonance; it filters all recommendations through the Tri-Codex, ensuring that no governance action recommended by EPIR-Q produces outcomes that harm individuals, communities, or long-term civilizational prospects.

The Non-Punitive Remediation (NPR) philosophy operationalizes this ethic at the policy level. When EPIR-Q's anomaly detection identifies violations, non-compliance, or dissonance, the system response is educational intervention rather than punishment. Violations trigger VERTECA simulation experiences designed to build understanding of why the violated standard exists, what consequences flow from non-compliance, and how the individual can restore their standing through demonstrated learning and behavior change. This approach is consistent with the third principle—building for generations—by transforming each violation into a learning opportunity that strengthens the system rather than an adversarial encounter that degrades social trust.

6. Publication History and Evolution

The evolution of EPIR-Q across the ERES publication record reveals a construct that has deepened in specificity and expanded in scope over three years of continuous development.

Date	Publication	EPIR-Q Role	Platform
Mar 2023	ERES EPIR-Q (standalone article)	Semantic ratings foundation for P³ User-GROUP AI	ResearchGate
Mar 2025	How ERES BERC Calculates GAIA ERES UBIMIA Using EPIR-Q	Computational bridge linking BERC, GAIA, UBIMIA	ResearchGate
Sep 2025	From GDP to NBERS (Revision 3)	Foundational element of post-GDP economy	ResearchGate
Nov 2025	ERES Rating System v1.0: Triadic Framework	Capacity pillar of the Will/Capacity/Ability triad	ResearchGate
Jan 2026	BESI v0.1: Kirlian-Fourier-Munsell Framework	EPIR-Q-style governance metric complement	ResearchGate (1 citation)

Feb 2026	ERES Resonant Harmony Cycle v1.0 / v2.0	RHC as operational interface for EPIR-Q cycling	ResearchGate (2 citations)
Mar 2026	Trinity Recognition Framework; Bio-Energetic Property Mgmt	Calibration metric (approaching 1.0); full platform spec	ERES Archive (zip)

Table 2. EPIR-Q Publication Evolution (2023–2026)

This trajectory shows EPIR-Q evolving from a conceptual proposal (2023) through computational integration (2025) to full triadic formalization and platform specification (2025–2026). The construct's readership has grown across publications, and the recent BESI and RHC papers—which reference EPIR-Q-style metrics—have begun generating external citations, indicating emerging scholarly engagement with the framework.

7. Why EPIR-Q Matters: Significance and Implications

7.1 Addressing the Governance Capacity Gap

Contemporary democratic governance operates on the assumption that every participant's vote carries equal weight regardless of their emotional intelligence, ethical alignment, or cognitive readiness for the decision at hand. EPIR-Q does not challenge the principle of universal suffrage; rather, it proposes that governance quality can be improved by making cognitive-emotional capacity visible and by creating educational pathways that systematically develop it. In the ECVS model, EPIR-Q weighting ensures that governance decisions are informed—not restricted—by measured capacity, and that every participant has access to the EarnedPath resources needed to increase their contribution.

7.2 Bridging Subjective Experience and Objective Measurement

One of the most persistent challenges in governance, organizational management, and AI alignment is the gap between subjective human experience (emotions, intentions, ethical commitments) and objective systemic measurement (metrics, scores, outcomes). EPIR-Q addresses this gap directly by establishing a measurement infrastructure that takes subjective states seriously—via bio-energetic sensing, aura resonance indexing, and physiological coherence monitoring—while subjecting them to empirical validation through the BERA architecture. This is not a claim that subjective experience can be fully reduced to numbers; it is a framework for making subjective states legible to governance systems without eliminating their qualitative richness.

7.3 AI Governance and the Alignment Problem

The ERES framework positions EPIR-Q as a critical component of AI governance. The AI Governance Position Paper (v3.0) and the Hague Submission (ERES-HAGUE-2026-001) argue

that AI systems operating within governance contexts require not only technical alignment (ensuring the AI does what it is told) but resonance alignment (ensuring the AI's operations are coherent with the bio-energetic and ethical states of the humans it serves). EPIR-Q provides the measurement layer that makes this resonance alignment assessable and auditable, complementing technical AI safety measures with a human-centered coherence metric.

7.4 Sustainability and the 1000-Year Horizon

The ERES Institute's 1000-Year Future Map provides the temporal framework within which EPIR-Q's significance becomes fully apparent. Short-term governance systems optimize for electoral cycles (4–6 years), corporate systems for quarterly earnings, and international systems for treaty periods (10–30 years). EPIR-Q, operating within the GSSG (Green Solar-Sand Glass) planetary architecture and feeding into GAIA-level coordination, is designed to support governance decisions across generational and civilizational timescales. The RCI (Resonant Continuity Index) component of BERA—which measures the persistence of coherent patterns across time—provides the longitudinal measurement backbone that makes 1000-year planning empirically tractable rather than merely aspirational.

8. Conclusion

ERES EPIR-Q is not a single metric, a single platform, or a single theory. It is an integrated construct that operates simultaneously as a measurement of individual human capacity (Emotional Personal IQ Real Quantum), a system-level intelligence platform (Empirical Pattern Intelligence Resonance — Quantum), and a developmental pathway (EarnedPath Intelligent Resonance Quotient). Within the ERES Rating System, it occupies the Capacity pillar of the Will/Capacity/Ability triad, providing the dimension of governance measurement that existing systems lack. Within the broader ERES architecture, it serves as the primary connective tissue between individual bio-energetic states and collective governance decisions, mediated by the ECVS voting system, the BERA measurement infrastructure, the FAVORS identity layer, the SOMT data provenance standard, and the PlayNAC educational platform.

The significance of EPIR-Q lies not in any single component but in the integration: the closed loop from individual development through empirical measurement through pattern intelligence through governance decision through educational feedback that continuously improves both the individual and the system. In a world grappling with governance failure, AI alignment challenges, ecological crisis, and civilizational fragmentation, the ERES Institute's proposal—that evidence-based measurement of human cognitive-emotional capacity should be a central input to governance, not an afterthought—represents a contribution worthy of serious analytical engagement.

References

Sprute, J.A. (2023). ERES EPIR-Q. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369266148_ERES_EPIR-Q

Sprute, J.A. (2025). How ERES BERC Calculates GAIA ERES UBIMIA Using the Framework of ERES EPIR-Q. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ResearchGate.

Sprute, J.A. (2025). The ERES Rating System v1.0: Triadic Cybernetic Framework for Global Governance Intelligence. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ResearchGate.

Sprute, J.A. (2025). From GDP to NBERS: A Framework for a Bio-Ecologic Economy (Revision 3). ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ResearchGate.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). BESI v0.1: A Kirlian-Fourier-Munsell Framework for Biometric Certification. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ResearchGate.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). ERES Resonant Harmony Cycle v1.0 / v2.0. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ResearchGate.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). THE TRINITY RECOGNITION FRAMEWORK. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ERES Key Files Archive.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). ERES Bio-Energetic Property Management Framework. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ERES Key Files Archive.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). ERES Classifying Empirics in Real-Time. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ERES Key Files Archive.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). ERES_TERMS_45_v2.0. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ERES Key Files Archive.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). ERES Government Partnership Brief 2026. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ERES Key Files Archive.

Sprute, J.A. (2026). ERES_Trinity_SEPLTA_EMA_HHDL_Complete. ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. ERES Key Files Archive.

ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. (2012–2026). Proof-of-Work_MD Repository (301 commits). GitHub. https://github.com/ERES-Institute-for-New-Age-Cybernetics/Proof-of-Work_MD

ERES Institute for New Age Cybernetics. (2012–2026). PlayNAC-KERNEL Repository. GitHub. https://github.com/ERES-Institute-for-New-Age-Cybernetics/PlayNAC-KERNEL

“Don’t hurt yourself. Don’t hurt others. Build for generations to come.”